



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,000	09/16/2003	James J. Bono JR.	6652-43U1	1746

570 7590 11/24/2004

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L.L.P.
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE
2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7013

EXAMINER

PATEL, VISHAL A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3676

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/663,000

Applicant(s)

BONO, JAMES J.

Examiner

Vishal Patel

Art Unit

3676

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/26/04.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moyer (US. 5,205,075) in view of Reinvaldt (US. 767,763).

Moyer discloses a termination of a flexible hollow gasket (90) mounted to close a gap between an oven door (150) and an oven face (face of 140) surrounding an oven mouth (mouth of 140) and facing the oven door. The gasket being attached to the oven door (the gasket is attached to an oven door by fasteners 10 being placed in holes 160 in the oven door), including a tubular member having a first and second opposing ends (ends of 90), a resiliently flexible wall (wall 100) formed at least substantially by intertwined fibrous yarns extending between the ends and a plurality of fasteners (10) extending through the flexible wall and outwardly from the flexible wall and configured to be received in spaced openings in the one of the oven door and oven face. Two of the fasteners are located immediately adjacent the first and second ends (joint formed by the ends of the gasket 90 and retained by the clips 10 on an oven door 150) to connect to the oven door or the oven face by the fasteners.

The fasteners comprise plurality of separate individual spring clips (clips 10). The spring clips are individual wire members separated and distinct from each other, each of the spring clip being individually captured within and protruding from the flexible wall (all clips 10 are

Art Unit: 3676

protruding from the flexible wall). The fasteners (10) are formed from a single continuous spring wire member (wire member of 10), the fasteners being engagement portions of the wire member protruding from the flexible wall (portion of 10 that protrudes from the flexible wall). The gasket having a tubular resilient core and a flexible outer jacket formed by a plurality of fibrous yarns intertwined seamlessly around the resilient core (core 110 and jacket 100). The flexible outer jacket is made of braided glass fiber yarns. The gasket is formed as a closed loop (close loop form of the gasket 90).

Moyer discloses the invention substantially as claimed above but fails to disclose that the first end of the flexible wall *being at least partially collapsed to form* (method limitation is given little patentable) a male end, the second end of the wall *being left uncollapsed to form* (method limitation is given little patentable weight) a female end, the male end is adjustably received within the female end to form a joint engaging the first and second ends together to form the closed loop and the joint being held together by the fasteners immediately adjoining each of the first and second ends of the wall received in the two of the spaced openings in the oven door or an oven face. Reinvaldt discloses a gasket having a first male end (F), a female end (G) and two fasteners adjacent the ends (Two of C adjacent to G and F as seen in figure 4) that hold a joint formed by the male and female ends. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the first and second ends of Moyer to be a male end and a female end, respectively as taught by Reinvaldt, to provide a joint without lump (Page 1, column 2, lines 75-85 of Reinvaldt) or an alternative way of joining a member to form a loop.

Art Unit: 3676

3. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moyer and Reinvaldt as applied to claims above, and further in view of Weil (US. 4,986,033).

Moyer and Reinvaldt disclose the invention substantially as claimed above but fail to disclose that the core is made of stain less steel wires knitted together. Weil discloses a gasket on an oven door that is formed of a stainless steel wire core that is knitted and an outer jacket made of glass fiber yarns (10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the core of Moyer and Reinvaldt to be formed of stainless steel wire that is knitted as taught by Weil, to provide a core that has strength, flexibility, is light weight and is temperature resistant (column 6, lines 30-33 of Weil).

4. Claims 1-5 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moyer as applied above, and further in view of Close et al (US. 4,156,533).

Moyer disclose the invention substantially as claimed above but fail to disclose that the first end of the flexible wall *being at least partially collapsed to form* (method limitation is given little patentable) a male end, the second end of the wall *being left uncollapsed to form* (method limitation is given little patentable weight) a female end, the male end is adjustably received within the female end to form a joint engaging the first and second ends together to form the closed loop, an end of the core protrudes from an end of the jacket at the first end of the flexible wall and an end of the outer jacket extends beyond an end of the core at the second end of the flexible wall and in turned in upon itself. Close a gasket having a core (40), an outer jacket (42) a male end (end of the core 40 in figure 6), a female end (end of the outer jacket 42), the male end inserted into the female end to form a joint, an end (end on the left side of figure 6) of the core (40) extends beyond an end (end on the left side of figure 6) of the outer jacket (42) and an

Art Unit: 3676

end (end on the right side of figure 6) of the outer jacket (42) extends beyond an end (end on the right side of figure 6) of the core (40). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the first and second ends of Moyer to be a male end formed by the core and a female end formed by the outer jacket, respectively as taught by Close, to provide a gasket that is continuous (column 3, lines 56-63 of Close) or an alternative way of joining a member to form a loop.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Weil, Gorman and Kuznar teach a male and female end of a gasket that is connected to form a continuous loop gasket.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishal Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-8495. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 PM to 4:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann, can be reached on (703) 306-4115.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. Technology Center 3600 Customer Service is available at 703-308-1113. General Customer Service numbers are at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-9000. Fax Customer Service is available at 703-872-9325.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: 703-872-9326, for formal communications for entry before Final action: or,
703-872-9327, for formal communications for entry after Final action.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park Five, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia, Seventh Floor (Receptionist suite adjacent to the elevator lobby).

Application/Control Number: 10/663,000

Page 6

Art Unit: 3676

VP

November 22, 2004

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Vishal Patel". The signature is stylized with a large, sweeping "V" and a cursive "Patel".

Vishal Patel
Patent Examiner
Tech. Center 3600